



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Frademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,597	01/24/2002	Atsuko Ogawa	Q68145	5118
7590 12/17/2003 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			TARAZANO, DONALD LAWRENCE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	7
		DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/053,597	OGAWA ET AL.			
Offic	e Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MAILING  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON  - If the period for rep  - If NO period for rep, with  - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 rHS from the mailing date of this communication. Ity specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply by is specified above, the maximum statutory period whin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Respon	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 C</u>	October 2003 .				
2a)⊠ This act	ion is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Cla						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
		an nom consideration.				
•—	)∏ Claim(s) is/are allowed. )⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  cation Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
		election requirement.	ST			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)∏ The speci	fication is objected to by the Examiner	т.	<b>\$</b>			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<del>,</del>						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	ertified copies of the priority documents					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/053,597

Art Unit: 1773

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP-08-157791 or JP-

08-311419.

3. For the purpose of examination, the examiner takes the position that the applicants are

claiming a two-layer system, in which the adhesive layer does not require a blend..

4. Each of the Japanese patents are directed to a film comprising a pressure sensitive ethylene /

alpha olefin polymeric layer and a substrate layer. The ethylene / alpha-olefin layer has good

adhesive properties is made using a metallocene catalyst. Each does have Mw/Mn distributions

within the claimed range. It appears that these materials have some degree of crystallinity so would

have phase change (a calorie change of greater than 1 J/g) and are solids at room temperature so

would have a melting point (a calorie input of greater than 1 J/g) as claimed. The examiner takes

the position that the catalyst system used to make the materials coupled with the way the polymers

are described, as being adhesive at low temperatures would support the contention that the material

would behave as claimed.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY** 

Application/Control Number: 10/053,597

Art Unit: 1773

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10-02-2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants have stated in their response that the materials taught in JP-08-157791 or JP-08-311419 do not have the claimed properties for (a). Claim 1 recites that (a) "the olefin copolymer has neither a peak of crystal melting enthalpy of not less than 1 J/g, nor a peak of crystallization enthalpy of not less than 1 J/g..." The prior art as pointed out by applicants has values of greater than one. The examiner believes that there are too many "negatives" in the claim. If applicants means that they do not want values of greater than 1, then it might be advisable to replace "not less" to "greater".

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY** 

---

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/053,597

Art Unit: 1773

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to D. Lawrence Tarazano whose telephone number is (703)-308-2379. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 6:00 (off every other Friday).

7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on (703)-309-2367. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9310 for regular communications

and (703)-872-9311 for After Final communications.

8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0661.

D. Lawrence Tarazano

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1773

dlt

December 15, 2003

BEST AVAILABLE COPY